



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,854	09/30/2005	Zenon Lysenko	63104A	6166
109 7590 11/24/2008 The Dow Chemical Company Intellectual Property Section P.O. Box 1967 Midland, MI 48641-1967				
			EXAMINER	
CUTLIF, YATE KAI RENE				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1621				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
11/24/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/551,854		Applicant(s) LYSENKO ET AL.	
	Examiner YATE' K. CUTLIFF		Art Unit 1621	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) YATE' K. CUTLIFF.

(3) Atty. Marie F. Zuckerman.

(2) ROSALYND KEYS.

(4) Dr. David A. Babb.

Date of Interview: 12 November 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: Power point presentation of discussion points.

Claim(s) discussed: 18.

Identification of prior art discussed: Frankel (US 3,787,459), Bahrmann et al. (CA 2,162,083) & Khoe et al. (journal of the American Oil Chemists' Society).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants and Applicants' representative discussed the reasons they believed the prior art references did not provide motivation for the claimed alcohol composition. Further, there was discussion related to Declarations I and II. The discussion focused on the comparability of the data presented in both declarations. Applicants will present a declaration clarifying Declarations I and II with their response to the Office Action.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/ROSALYND KEYS/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1621	/YATE' K. CUTLIFF/ Examiner, Art Unit 1621
--	---